

FWG 13 - Small Group Discussions Notes

Nairobi 1-3 WCCB, Bonn, Germany

12 June 2025

Agenda Item 3b: Strategic Implementation of the Baku Workplan – Unpacking Collective Approach 4,5 and 6

Collective Approach 4: Collaboration With Constituted Bodies and Under Workstreams of the UNFCCC

This approach aims to enhance the ethical and equitable engagement of Indigenous Peoples and local communities in meetings, forums and development technical documents of relevant constituted bodies and UNFCCC workstreams. It promotes the inclusion of knowledge holders in the work of technical experts and working groups, in a manner that respects and promotes the rights-based approach.

Collective Approach 5: Enhanced Engagement with Parties

This approach strengthens collaboration with Parties to ensure that national climate policies are informed by the values, worldviews, knowledge systems and priorities of Indigenous Peoples and local communities. It includes targeted outreach to national focal points and an annual dialogue for Indigenous Peoples, local communities, and Parties to promote the ethical and equitable integration of values and knowledge systems of Indigenous Peoples and local communities in the design and implementation of NAPs, NDCs and national communications.

Collective Approach 6: Overall Strategic Planning

This approach provides a dedicated space for the FWG to identify annual LCIPP theme(s) and ensure coherence and synergy across the Baku Workplan's collective approaches. It supports alignment with key timelines and milestones within and beyond the UNFCCC process, thereby strengthening the overall impact and effectiveness of the workplan.

Time: 11:00-12:30 CEST

Notes

Name of the group:
Moderators:
Note takers:
Guiding questions:
<u>Collective Approach 4: Collaboration With Constituted Bodies and Under Workstreams of the UNFCCC</u>
1. Which of the engagement opportunities, during and beyond SB 62, offer the best opportunity for you to share your experiences, expertise and perspectives?
2. What changes or support would help strengthen the ethical and equitable incorporation of shared values and diverse ways of knowing into relevant work across the UNFCCC process?
<u>Collective Approach 5: Enhanced Engagement with Parties</u>

1. What does ethics protocol mean to you in the context enhancing the participation of Indigenous Peoples and local communities in the UNFCCC process?
2. What values, practices or resources from your community could inform the draft ethics protocol in the context of implementing the Baku Workplan?

Collective Approach 6: Overall Strategic Planning

1. Which case studies or success stories from your region should the FWG highlight in future dialogues, submissions, or interventions?

Running Notes: Collective Approach 4

- Previous cohort had adopted a focal point system for the constituted bodies and workstreams – which ones should most of the focus. Those bodies saw the FWG member as an equal-level colleagues. Very interested in engaging with us to bring in the IP and LC perspectives and knowledge. It opened door to contributing to technical documents and other developments. Trusted the FWG to facilitate a session of IK holders to the WIM ExCom. Contribute concretely to their outcomes.
- Would be to the benefit of the platform if the FWG can divide itself and engage in as many as possible. Good opportunity to bring forth IP concerns to a higher level. The caucus will try to influence in its way but FWG is good because we are seen as equals
- Some have raised concerned that FWG is being seen as the voice of IPs when it is not. Must be within the mandate. Others might think that we are the voices of IPs. 6.8 has great potential.
- LEG – the invitation was to the co-Chairs, would be good to have representation of members from the LEG. Green Climate Fund (again

Key Messages for reporting back:

- Relating to engagement opportunities:
- Relating to changes or supports:

<p>the imbalance if that could be considered)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • In the LEG criteria, this is where we can contribute the perspectives and views of IPs and LCs. • Can we identify where knowledge can be directly input, which of these bodies are open to direct input of IK to the work, ie. the technical guidelines for NAPs – where tangible policy recommendations can be made, ie. The TEC. • Collaboration doesn't only mean the participation of the FWG, need to invite contributions of other relevant bodies of the UNFCCC, how can we bring experiences of other bodies of IPs, ie. Some of the constituted bodies already have advisory groups of IPs (ie. GCF), how can they bring their contributions to the FWG. Should invite experts of those bodies to come to the FWG. Should be two way. For that to happen, the FWG should add an agenda item ie. FWG14 where they can share what they are doing, ie. IPCC (going to workshop of IK in IPCC AR7, and bringing them to an item during the FWG meeting). The report itself may not capture the work of the advisory body, or at least not the information relevant to the FWG. • Make sure the Secretariat captures that the NELs, gave input to their workplan where we called for a 7th generation round table to be on NELs, and perhaps we could develop that together with NELs. • If Co-Chairs are invited, they can always respond that they defer the meeting to another member (ie. LEG 	
--	--

<p>invitation going to someone from a LEG country).</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • FWG should work with IIFYCC to attend those meeting. • What do we mean by “we?” How do we bring together the values of IPs, LCs, and States. • The process is to identify the core messages. How do we bring that kind of message to apply to other constituencies as well. • LCs - See many UN documents and we talk about bringing our values, the communities have a hard time translate their values and knowledge to the paper. Illustrations might be very traditional for our people to use so that we can see our values together by graphical representation. • Produced 4 videos of about 6 minutes, about 4 topics. • We encourage submissions of materials, video and otherwise, through the LCIPP webportal. • One of the challenges for IPs is that our knowledge is based on different media, so even if we bring IKHs we hear from them but the report doesn't fully reflect those contributions. For LCIPP there is the webportal for submissions, but they are not necessarily part of the recommendations to the SBSTA. If we want them to be a part of the contribution to the report, the Secretariat should capture those interventions and make them an official part of the report. IKHs are not used to making written submissions – make sure the oral interventions are read onto record. 	
--	--

<p>IPs are diverse within ourselves but there are also similarities, speak of collectivity, and when we see the framing of the concept here we need to ensure LCs and IPs are represented as distinct and should be a part of ethical protocols.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Would a strategy to over the timing and address the diversity is to ask the UN and sociocultural regions to bring examples of published protocols as a draft, these are articulated specifically by IPs, these are articulated specifically by LCs. • Acknowledging the diversity is important for both IPs and LCs, and the differences in contexts and histories, probably not possible to have full global diversity represented. • Make sure we don't blanket everyone with a single protocols for all constituencies. 	
<p>Running Notes: Collective Approach 6</p>	<p>Key Messages for reporting back:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Relating to case studies or success stories:
<p><i>Additional Important Information Shared:</i></p> <p>Important case stories (e.g. specific practices, values, worldviews and policies related to Indigenous Peoples and local communities), opportunities for collaboration, recommendations, challenges, etc.</p>	

--